PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/JP2004/011184 26.07.2004 30.07.2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC B60K28/16, B60L3/10, B60K6/04 Applicant TOYOTA JIDOSHA KABUSHIKI KAISHA This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA: **Authorized Officer**

Vogt-Schilb, G

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8917

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Cover Sheet) (January 2004)

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich

Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d

10/5658**51**IAP9 Rec'd PCT/PTO 26 JAN 2006

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/011184

_							
	Box I	lo. I Basis of the opinion					
 With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international at the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item. 							
This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international sea (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).							
2.	With i	egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and sary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
	a. typ	e of material:					
		a sequence listing					
		table(s) related to the sequence listing					
	b. form	nat of material:					
		in written format					
		in computer readable form					
	c, time	e of filing/furnishing:					
		contained in the international application as filed.					
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.					
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.					
3.	n: Co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as oppropriate, were furnished.					
4.	4. Additional comments:						

(

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/011184

_	Box No. II	Priority				-		
1.								
	\boxtimes	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).						
		translation of the e	ose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).					
Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.								
2.	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.							
3.	3. Additional observations, if necessary:							
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement								
1.	Statement							
	Novelty (N)		Yes.	Claims	4,5,7-9			
			No:	Claims	1-3,6,10			
	Inventive st	ep (IS)	Yes:	Claims	4,5,7-9			
			No:	Claims	,,_,			
	Industrial at	oplicability (IA)	Yes:	Claims	1-10			
		spirocomity (ii iy	No:	Claims	. ,0			
2.	Citations an	nd explanations						

see separate sheet

Re Item V.

(

4.

1 The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1: EP 1 147 937 A (TOYOTA MOTOR CO LTD) 24 October 2001 (2001-10-24)

D2: US 5 492 192 A (BROOKS LORAN D ET AL) 20 February 1996

D3: EP 0 823 348 A (DELCO ELECTRONICS CORP) 11 February 1998

D4: US 5 788 005 A (ARAI KENTAROU) 4 August 1998 (1998-08-04)

2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT. Document D1 discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document): A vehicle driven by an electric motor (MG2) with a voltage converter (192) a slip detection module (272a), upon detection of a slip (Fig 5) the motor torque is reduced according to the angular acceleration to set an initial torque value intended to cancel the slipping.

3 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 10

Similarly for the same reasons, the present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 10 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

4 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2, 3, 6

Dependent claims 2, 3, 6 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT). The features of claims are disclosed in D1.

5 DEPENDENT CLAIM 4 or 8

The combination of the features of dependent claim 4 or 8 is neither known from, nor rendered obvious by, the available prior art.